



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

11A

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,142	03/30/2006	Othmar Gaidosch	23344	4103
535	7590	02/09/2007	EXAMINER	
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS			VU, HIEN D	
5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE			ART UNIT	
PO BOX 900			PAPER NUMBER	
RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900			2833	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/543,142	GAIDOSCH, OTHMAR	
	Examiner Hien D. Vu	Art Unit 2833	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species 1, Figs. 1-8, claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 11/15/06 is acknowledged.
2. Claims 1-8 are objected to because in claims 1-8, the terms "characterized in that" are confusing and unclear; claim 3, line 5, the features "the electrical connection ... by a contacting member" are unclear what being claimed.
3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

4. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
5. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frantz et al (4960388).

Insofar as the claims can be understood, Frantz, Figs. 16 show a plug having individual parts 10,12,14, at least a metal casing 14, at least one conductor W of a cable C is connectable with one contact 42, a shielding BS, and a separate contact element 90 that forms the electrical connection between the casing and the shielding.

As to claim 3, Frantz shows shielding of the cable being electrically connected with the housing part 12 of the plug.

As to claim 4, Frantz shows the contact 42 has at least two press-fit arms (not labeled).

As to claim 5, Frantz shows the press-fit arms are fixable at least partially in one wire holder 52.

Art Unit: 2833

As to claim 6, Frantz shows an end of the conductor W being poked between the press-fit arms.

As to claim 7, Frantz shows the press-fit arms extended generally axially of the plug.

As to claim 8, Frantz shows the wire holder 10 has several conductor seats that positioned symmetrically around a longitudinal axis.

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantz et al (4960388) in view of Nattel et al (6034325).

Frantz does not show the shielding of the cable being formed as an iris spring. Nattel, Figs.1 shows a shielding 60 of a cable 104 being an iris spring. It would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Frantz by forming the shielding of the cable with an iris spring, as taught by Nattel, in order to achieve better shielding for the cable.

8. Michaels et al, Rupp et al, Chiu, Holman, Nakata, and Goett et al are cited for disclosure of shield cable connectors.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hien D. Vu at telephone number 571-272-2016.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,142
Art Unit: 2833

Page 4

HV

2/3/07



HIEN VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER